

Schaeffer Cox

3-5-17

Hello,

I just read the governments answering brief to my opening appeal brief. ITS all bubbles and no soup.

ITS just the same old false narrative they've been shouting all along. They try to support it with the testimony of liar-for-hire henchmen, and deceptively edited transcripts.

But their claim that I was plotting murder is false. Not only is it false, we can prove that they know its false because we have emails between the FBI and prosecutors where they are complaining and acting all frustrated by the fact that I wouldn't plot any crimes.

- FBI Agent Eseland, 6-14-10 "Schaeffer Cox was exposed as a self aggrandizing fraud. He did not have a "plan" at all. Even if he had a plan there were allegedly other plans to off Schaeffer Cox 48 hr after the revolution began.

- FBI Agent Southland 2-19-11 "meeting is over. No immediate threat to LEO or judicial officers identified by SHS."

- FBI Agent Sutherland 2-12-11 "Cox discussed operation 241. Cox said that there would not be a 241 response if Vernon's house was seized. Cox said that he lost his business and residence and that Vernon needed to be prepared to lose his. Cox said that they did not have enough support to pull off a 241 operation and survive."

- Prosecutor Skrocki 2-12-11 "I've listened to conversation from last night. ... They discuss everything from 241, to fleeing, to the capture coming and waiting for that ... Cox's stated thoughts are 'were not strong enough to execute or follow through' and he says they should do everything we can to avoid it (violence). ... He says 'at the moment his plan is to lay low, and if he gets caught to play Gandhi', not Rambo."

FBI Agent Sutherland, 11-22-10 "In a recorded meeting with my informant, Cox stated over and over that he did not want violence and that he did not want to see cops hurt."

On and on it goes. I can't list them all here. But the pitch the prosecution made to the jury was false and this proves they knew it was false. Now their making the same

false pitch to the Appeals courts. These idiots don't talk about facts or evidence. They just try to shout the loudest.

But even if you took their lies as gospel truth, their claims still fail as a matter of law.

A conspiracy to commit first degree murder must have a definite victim, and two or more people agreeing to murder that victim, who then act on that agreement. That's just not here.

The government says more or less "Schweitzer was really scared that the government might collapse and there'd be chaos, or that some imaginary Feds would try to murder him. This of course would NEVER happen. But that doesn't matter. The point is there are circumstances that at least in theory could cause Schweitzer Cox to do something on the fly to defend himself. So that's a murder conspiracy."

It just doesn't pass the test for 1st degree murder conspiracy. A hypothetical willingness to defend yourself in a possible future scenario that will probably never come to pass, is not the same as plotting murder.

There are a lot of YouTube videos up that show how I'm innocent and how the prosecution lied through their teeth. Look those up and share them with friends.

The courts all know I'm innocent. But they might just go with the loudest shouters anyway.

My trial judge said "I don't see it. (referring to a conspiracy) Mr. Cox might as well be conspiring to kill little green men from Mars. Is there um, -did I miss something?"

Then Skrocki the prosecutor got all huffy and offended and started saying how I don't believe in the Politi-cult that runs the government, and how I think Czar is a joke. That's the real reason I'm here. Skrocki came right out and said so.

The judge knew all the conspiracy stuff was empty pretext. But he basically shrugged his shoulders and said, "I don't see a conspiracy but he's your prisoner, so do what you want."

I'll keep fighting. When we out shout the prosecutors, the judge will rule with us. It's that simple. It's like cave-man court. Ha ha ha. Let's push for a pardon from Trump!  
- Schmitt