

In support of Schaeffer Cox #7 May 3, 2017

Starry-eyed Nicholas Marsh was the lead attorney in the corrupt conviction of Alaska's Senator Ted Stevens. Some believe he directed the corrupt or evil behavior within the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, regarding the Stevens conviction.

Rather, he was like all human beings, driven by self-interest: self-interest of money or self-interest of public publicity or self-interest of advancing employment opportunity and other self-interest values.

Was Nicholas Marsh corrupt or working on a case outside his level of professional growth?

As previously posted, my belief is; attorneys like nurses, have 5 levels of professional development.

They are

1. Novice
2. Advance beginner
3. Competent
4. Proficient
5. Expert

In my nursing career it took 10 years to advance to the expert level. Was Nicholas Marsh an expert attorney?

In my opinion he was not. The official report investigating the corrupt conviction of Senator Ted Stevens (the Schuelke Report-I will talk about that in later posts.) states there was "systemic concealment of significant exculpatory evidence."

A common practice in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, as my friend Schaeffer Cox can attest to.

What was Nicholas Marsh's role in this corruption? Was it do to inept direction from Marsh or his own level of corruption? More likely it would have been from the deliberate behavior from those (corrupt experts) who could direct the case

from behind the scenes? Nicholas Marsh could easily be manipulated by his inexperience and being dependent on the expert attorneys surrounding him.

According to the attached -

<http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2011/01/03/casualties-of-justice>

"Nicholas Marsh, as a relatively junior lawyer in the Justice Department, built the case against Stevens, and, working with F.B.I. agents and local prosecutors, coordinated a massive investigation of corruption in the state's politics. The efforts of Marsh and others resulted in nine convictions, including six guilty pleas, and culminated in the Stevens trial. But when that case fell apart Marsh suddenly found himself the subject of a criminal inquiry rather than the leader of one."

Marsh had been with the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE since 2003 (at the ripe old age of 30). Having a grand total of one year in the justice department when he developed several cases against multiple Alaska state legislators. (starting in 2004) He won nine cases. One of those cases was against Ben Stevens (Ted Stevens' son). At the time Ben Stevens was a state senator in Alaska.

Marsh had received many accolades for cleaning up Alaska state politics. Now he had the opportunity to go after the biggest case ever. Take down a sitting US Senator. Wow, the future opportunities for advancements for this man, if he won that case.

Did this novice attorney make judgements based on familiar status? Believing, "the apple doesn't fall far from the tree," in regards to Ben Stevens and his father Senator Ted Stevens. Probably.

So, more senior attorneys in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE would lead Marsh around by the nose. I am sure they were explaining how famous he would be if he could get this conviction. Perhaps an appointment to a federal judgeship by some future president.

So his inexperience and the corruption within the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE brought his efforts, for success, to an abrupt stop. For after winning the Stevens

case and Ted Stevens reelection loss, an FBI Whistleblower (Chad Joy) came forward to tell the truth.

Now it was exposed how corrupt and evil the prosecution of Ted Stevens was. I am sure, as the corruption was exposed, Marsh reflected on the many opportunities he had to redirect the case towards truth and justice. He was a novice attorney who gave way to his own self interest. A common fault among us all.

A fault he could have overcome. But he could not overcome the guilt he felt for his failed responsibility. He hanged himself.

I believe he was an honest man with human faults. Like Schaeffer and I, he had a Baptist connection. His funeral was in a baptist church in Washington D.C.

Perhaps, if he had survived he would have become a rare thing in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, a whistleblower.

He would have done what many experts do when they realize the corruption/evil where they work. Either become a whistleblower or just plain quit.

Oh, the many books written by whistleblowers who expose government corruption. But there are many more who just quit their job.

And then there are a few like FBI whistleblower, Chad Joy, exposing the truth (about the Stevens case) and then quit his job. For an honest individual would never work at a place like the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE once they become an expert in their chosen profession. An individual who supports truth and justice would quit their job in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, once they get passed their starry-eyed perception of the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

Where are the whistleblowers in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE? They are few and far between.

Google the only two I could find, Thomas Tamm and Jessica Radack.

Would Nicholas Marsh become a whistleblower if he could have overcome his human failures and pushed past his guilty feelings? We will never know.

But what about Joseph W. Bottini. Was he too a novice attorney in the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE?

AIN'T NO WAY.

I will explain, next time.

Signed Ray Southwell, Nikiski, Alaska

[Casualties of Justice](#)

<http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2011/01/03/casualties-of-justice>