

Moving to Overthrow the Electoral College

Okay for all of you folks who may have bought into President Obama's promise that he would fundamentally change the United States of America, here is a new development, actually worse than Obamacare. It is the changing of the election process for the next president.

As you all probably know the president is elected via the Electoral College requiring 270 votes. There is a movement to change that requirement to electing the president by a majority of the popular vote. So a 51% vote of the popular vote will determine the election. But, in order to do make this change it requires an amendment to the constitution, which requires a two-thirds approval of the both the Senate and the House, and then forwarded to the governor of each state to be ratified. It then requires three-fourths of the states to amend the constitution. A lengthy process to be sure and intentionally so, to make sure everyone thinks it through.

Now there is an end-run around the Electoral College process being conducted across the country. States are being asked to employ the process of granting all of their electoral votes based on the national majority vote, not the voting plurality of their states. In affect this negates the intent of the electoral vote and puts the election results in the hands of the most populated states – as they have the most electoral votes. This is exactly what the Electoral College was designed to prevent. This election process will void the voice of the smaller states and for the most part, central United States. Once the large population states such as CA, NY, FL, IL, PA, etc., cast their electoral votes based on their populist vote they will reach the 270 electoral votes. This in effect stays within the electoral rules. Nineteen states have already elected to this, without a single mention of this in the national news media, not by congress, at least not by my representatives in PA.

Add to this situation a movement in CA to allow non-citizens to vote. The constitution does not require a voter to be a citizen. The eligibility to vote was left to the states. Arkansas was the last state in the union to require voters to be U.S. Citizens, and that was in 1926. Prior to 1926 some states did allow non-citizens to vote. The movement in CA is promoting that non-citizens are being dis-enfranchised from the voting process. So we can expect illegal aliens to start voting if CA, or any other state, changes their voting eligibility rules to allow non-citizens to vote.

Now let's add in President Obama's latest mantra supporting the democratic position against voter id. The result will be an open season for voter fraud, and without doubt in favor of the democratic party. Yes, the country will be fundamentally changed – single party rule in the United States much like Russia, China, North Korea, Iran, Venezuela, etc.

Won't happen? It will. It has already started. The future will eerily look like Venezuela and Ukraine where political protests will start getting violent. Look at the situation in Nevada where the U.S. Government is an armed conflict with US Citizens over grazing land rights on State/County owned land. The public is getting fed up with the heavy hand of government. In this case the Bureau of Land Management is so concerned about local ranchers grazing on land, that they engaged armed agents to confiscate steer and enforce the law. Funny though, they do not deploy the same armed constabulary along the Mexican border where the drug cartel is "grazing" on BLM land.

So there are two major incidents going on in America, and neither one is making it on TV or in the major newspapers. Take that back, Fox News has had coverage on the Nevada Ranchers vs. the U.S. Government. April 15th makes me irritable so I am venting my concern over the loss of the country where I grew up.

4/22/14 MC