

Francis Schaeffer Cox
#16179-006
US Penitentiary Marion
PO Box 1000
Marion, IL 62959

Rudy & Erin Davis
PO Box 2088
Forney, TX 75126

8-24-16

Hi,

I'm in the Hole. It's every bit as bad as it sounds. I'm sitting on concrete, naked and alone in the dark writing this to you after 15 days of trying to get your address. I'll get to that in a minute. But first I need to answer the question you got from Amy Dehn. She asks: "To me it sounds like he (Schaeffer) said to keep getting ready for 241, and until then, to do peaceful protests. Did I hear that right?? Am I missing something???" Can you help me out?"

Okay, Amy is missing a lot, pretty much EVERY-THING that led up to that. It is all in pages 6 through 21 of my Rule 60 (b) motion if you want to see evidence with your own eyes. But here's a quick sum-up.

First, the Feds sent in Bennett as an informant/provocateur to try to sell me on the idea of violent aggression. I told him that was "totally stupid because we were accomplishing great things with our grassroots education and cultural liberty efforts. So we need to keep doing what's working." This was 2009.

Then in 2010 the Feds sent in Fulton and

②

Bennett TOGETHER, while at the same time filing a totally bogus child neglect complaint ^(no probable cause required when kids included) ✓ so they could come take my 1 1/2 year old son away. Don't forget, this^{all} happened 2 weeks after I held a huge town hall meeting where I announced that we knew about the cops' drug trafficking and about Office of Child Services pimping-out toddlers to perv bureaucrats as payment to run cover for the dirty drug-cops.

So I'd just boldly exposed OCS and the Drug Task Force for some serious crimes they were working together to commit. Then guess who comes after me? OCS and DTF! My son was in a perfectly healthy God-fearing home, and I'd never had anything to do with drugs ever. So I knew without a doubt that this was a politically driven attack on my family by known criminals embedded within the government.

I knew this! And the Feds knew I knew it! Think about that for a minute.

So with me knowing full well that OCS and DTF are attacking my kids in corrupt retaliation for my whistleblowing, the Feds send in Fulton and Bennett who say: "Ha! Now you see we were right. Now do you think violence is totally stupid? I bet you don't think that anymore now that your kids' life is in danger, do yah!?" We know who these corrupt bastards are, and we're ready to go kill them right now for you. Just say the word and we'll go take 'em out. This is about protecting your children. What kind of a father are you if you say no?!"

(3)

At this point I was thinking "I'm a smart father who's not going to go off on a suicide rampage with two drunks like Fulton and Bennett, only to leave my children fatherless forever. That's what kind of a dad I am. I'm not a reactionary moron who can't foresee the consequences of foolish actions."

When I told Fulton this, and my friend Les Zerby backed me up and agreed with me, Fulton flew into a rage, grabbed Les and held an 8" hunting knife to his throat while demanding that I get on board with the violence. This was at "Blondie's Army Surplus Store."

Les and I managed to get out of there alive and I never saw Fulton or Bennett ever again. I was scared of them both after that and I told everyone to "stay away from them, and don't do anything to set them off."

Because I was staying far away from Fulton and Bennett, the Feds ran in another informant. A new guy named JR Olson. He came on the scene in early 2014. He went to a meeting on February 4th and 5th in Anchorage where he talked to Fulton and Bennett. They were right back at their old tricks pushing for foolish violence.

Fulton told Olson the story about "last summer when we didn't know if the government was going to take Schaeffer's kid or not" and how "he ^{could} almost killed Les when we and Schaeffer tried to stop our plans." Fulton then instructed

(4)

Olson to return to Fairbanks and deliver a death-threat-ultimatum to ~~Schaffer~~ ~~Co~~ me. Olson did just that when he tracked ~~Schaffer~~ ^{me} down at a Superbowl party on Feb 6th where ~~Schaffer~~ I was holding ~~his~~ ^{my} 1 day old baby girl on ~~his~~ ^{my} chest. ~~At the party and~~ Olson took ~~Schaffer~~ ^{me} aside and told ~~him~~ ^{me} in no uncertain terms that Fulton was coming to town to start a violent uprising and that if ~~Schaffer~~ ^I said or did anything to shut it down - like ~~he~~ ^I had the last time - Fulton was going to kill ~~Schaffer~~ me to get ~~him~~ ^{me} out of the way, then proceed with the violent uprising.

Immediately ~~so~~ I told my wife we had to get as far away as possible. She agreed and we began making ourselves ready. It was during this time that the conversation took place which Amy is asking about, February 12th to be exact.

I had no idea that any of these violent thugs worked for the cops. I thought Olsen was just an idiot who Fulton and Bennett had been able to impress. He was in contact with Fulton and Bennett despite my ongoing admonition to stay away from them completely. And now he was putting me on the spot for a reply to the death-threat-ultimatum he'd brought back.

This is why I met with him with 2 other people on 2-12-11 - Ken and Coleman. I wanted to have 2 more people on my side, and I knew Ken and Coleman would agree that violence was totally foolish.

5

The Feds weren't trying to catch a criminal, they were trying to set-up an innocent man who'd found out that THEY were the drug-trafficking child-molesting criminals. That's why they had to create this no-win situation that they did.

If I ^{said} no violence no way never (like I had before) Fulton would kill me. If I said yes, let's go do a mass shooting, the Feds would kill me. ~~They~~

If I went to the cops I wouldn't get any help because they were so corrupt. The MPs had already taken me aside and warned me about how the cop bragged about how they were setting up to murder me and that would "fix the Schaeffer Cox problem."

The only option I had was to leave the country for my safety. But I didn't want word of that to get to Fulton or Bennett. ~~And~~ ~~I didn't want~~ I had Olson hounding me several times a day for my answer to Fulton's death-threat-ultimatum. I couldn't tell him what I really thought, or I'd get killed! But I wasn't about to say yeh, it's a good idea. So I focused on the practical fact that even if we wanted to overthrow the government, we're not strong enough to do it. We can't even retaliate 24/7. We're not ABLE to.

I basically said "I'm doing a Gandhi because I'm not capable of doing anything else. Come talk to me again when you're strong enough to fight the Feds and win." Which, I knew would be, NEVER!

All I was trying to do was buy enough time

⑥

for me to get out of the country without being murdered by Fulton or the dirty drug-cops. I didn't know I was being recorded!

Put yourself in my shoes. What might come out of your mouth if you were scared for your life from Fulton, Bennett, ^{and} corrupt cops? Worse yet, ask yourself how much control of your feelings and your speech you might have if those drug-trafficking child molesters were threatening your baby? How do you think you would react to Military Police sitting you and your ^{spouse} ~~spouse~~ down and saying "we felt like we needed to tell you that the Cops in town are bragging about how they are going to murder you." to fix the Schaeffer Cox problem?"

I feel like I did pretty good, all things considered. Yeh I was scared, Yeh I was mad. Yeh I hate the corruption I see. Anybody with an ounce of morality or love for their country SHOULD hate what went on here. But despite all my feelings, I managed to rationally choose the morally right course of action that would ~~do~~ do the greatest good for the greatest number of people, i.e. me leave my home, my business, my fortune, my friends, and my future and just walk away forever in the hopes that by me leaving the Fulton/Burnett situation would be defused.

I explained this in local detail on February 19th and it's on recording.

7

Rody should have it by now. If he does not, Maria Rensel needs to just do as I've asked her to and give it to him right now so you can hear my voice on 2-19-11 explaining how "I don't have hatred for anyone personally, and I'm leaving because that's what has the brightest future for my family and everyone else's. Violence would be a fruitless gesture."

Now let me be quick to point out that I am not a pacifist. I believe that the use of force to defend your self or your country is morally allowable. I believe that the Feds/^{Globalists} are so out of control and utterly lawless these days, and such a serious threat to our national safety and wellbeing, that we the People would be well within our moral rights to use any force we had to to make the government submit to the constitution and respect everyone's rights. I believe that. And you'll here me articulate that idea.

HOWEVER! HOWEVER! Just because
it is perhaps morally allowable, that does NOT
mean it is tactically feasible. ~~but~~ I knew that
any attempt to meet the Fed's violence with
violence of our own would be a certain failure.
It would play right into their hands by re-inforcing
their propaganda narrative. And it would
orphan our children and widow our wives, for
nothing. Worse than nothing! It would help
our enemy. So, while the use of force may itself
be MORAL, to resort to force KNOWING
that doing so is guaranteed to hurt your family,
your country, and your cause, would be IMMORAL.
Got that? ~~I was never allowed to explain this.~~

8

In February of 2011 ~~it~~^{it} was my patriotic & moral duty, not to have the courage to use force, but to have the self-control NOT to use force.

I passed that test and I earned my stripes. Later, it became my patriotic and moral duty to suffer torture rather than make it end by agreeing to join the Feds and betray my country by helping them set-up innocent Americans. I still am earning my stripes on that one, and it's going to leave a deep scar. I'm paying ~~for~~ with my own blood and tears to keep my honor. But when your honor is all you have left, it becomes quite precious to you.

Now let me take a moment to speak to the well-meaning critics out there. Very often someone will say to me "I agree with what you stand for, you just went about it wrong." This position is indefensible for two main reasons: - Aside from the fact that they generally give no specifics - (1st) Given the information I had at the time, and in light of what I reasonably believed to be true regarding my circumstances, the things I said and did pass the moral test. They can be characterized as "taking the best available options." So my applying of my moral principals to what I had to work with can only be criticized within the context of the circumstances as they existed at that time. And within that context my words and actions are above criticism. (2nd) Regardless of the moral rectitude of my words and actions, nothing I said or did is illegal. Even if you take the government's ~~own~~ ^{own} theory of the case and hold it up to the legal standard without poking any holes in it at all, it STILL doesn't amount to a crime! It's perfectly legal for me to believe (as I do)

9

that there's not a badge big enough in this country to make me stand by and watch someone rape, murder or kidnap my child. I'm under no legal obligation to stand still ~~and~~ ^{to} get shot when the drug-cops come to "Fix the Smeffer Cox problem" by murdering me, ~~as~~ ^{as} they bragged to the Military Police was their plan. Think about this. The ~~police~~ ^{drug-cops} in Alaska are so corrupt that the MPs had to come tell me that ~~to~~ ~~expect~~ an armed home invasion with intent to murder me was in the works. And the rest of the police force is so corrupt that the only option the MPs had was to tell me directly. Other than that, they had no mechanism to intervene or stop corrupt drug-cops from committing a murder.

If the Military Police weren't strong enough to stop the corruption, then I damn sure wasn't. It's sad, but our country is starting to function a lot like Mexico. No rules, only rulers. The government is in the hands of savage criminals who have no respect for law and no reverence for humanity.

If I had the power to make the system obey the Law and stop trespassing peoples rights, I would. But I don't have that power, and I can't. So I don't. And that my friends, is what the prosecutor pointed to as my crime! "Some day if he's strong enough" was the accusation hurled at me by the prosecutor. "The only reason he's not overthrowing us is because he's not capable of pulling it off." Leave it to a US Attorney to prosecute a guy for being incapable of ^{committing} the crime for which he is being prosecuted.

Even by their own nonsense statutes, what the prosecution has accused me of is not a crime. It's perfectly legal for me to believe what I believed and say what I said. According to their own rules the prosecution's legal arguments make no sense at all.

But let me tell you what makes perfect sense. The prosecution in their sentencing pleading to the judge wrote this; "Schaeffer Cox does not believe in man's law, he believes in God's Law. People can not be rehabilitated from this kind of thinking. There for we ask for the gretest severity sentence, not less than 35 years and possibly as severe as life, if this Honorable court sees fit."

All of the paper circus leading up to those three sentences ~~can~~ be discarded, for these³ encapsulate the whole of my prosecution. The government is a religion. Men have set themselves up as gods. And I would not worship. Case closed.

In the words of my namesake, Dr. Francis Schaeffer, "No ^{totalitarian} government can tolerate a people who have a standard by which to judge that government and its conduct."

So here I sit, proof of the truth of those words, naked on the concrete floor writing this letter, in a cell the size of a bathroom stall, 32 years old spending the prime of my life in the dim silence of solitary confinement, because, like Daniel in the Bible, I would not worship.

~~If you believe in liberty, in the dignity of man, that human rights are God given and sacred. If it were,~~

(11)

If you ~~know~~^{believe} my cause is just, but at the same time feel an inexplicable urge to find fault in me, let me attempt to explain ~~to~~ what I think might be happening in you.

The moment that you accept my criticisms of ~~the~~ government and ~~know~~ recognize them as basically true, your subconscious performs a lightning quick rational calculation. ~~Much~~ faster than your conscious mind could put it into words, your sub-conscious says to you "This man is right in what he says, so either he did something wrong to end up in prison, or you did something wrong to stay out of prison. Inaction, after all, is an action."

~~So~~ So at the same moment ~~of~~^{that} sympathy for my plight swells in your throat, you feel fear and panic flutter in your heart. And without realizing why, you begin to search for fault in me. Because if I am to blame for doing ~~something~~ SOMETHING, ^{then} you are NOT to blame for doing NOTHING.

But you can not fool your subconscious. And if you try, you will only offend it. Sure, you can shout it down and fill your mind with retrospective criticisms of me. But your subconscious won't buy it. It knows what it knows. And now that it's offended, it will quit helping you and start talking smack instead. This will prevent you from being wholehearted. The poison will spread until you reconcile with yourself.

If what I just said describes you, then here's my advise: Quit being so hard on yourself. Our country is completely out of control, and it's no big

(12)

deal if you are some what to blame for that because of your apathy. You can recover from this, if you'll admit it to yourself. But if you search for excuses, and offend your conscience that knows better, you will never recover from the lack of wholeheartedness.

And trust me, if even just a small percentage of people in this country start living wholeheartedly, the cultural and political problems will clear-up naturally.

I kind of went off on a broader topic there. So here's the super short answer to Amy's question:

I'd already told Kuton NO on the violence. He said if I told him no again, he'd kill me! So I was just trying to buy ^{some} enough time without saying yes. That meeting with Olson was on Saturday February 12th. While I was talking to him on that recording, my wife was ~~at~~ back at the house packing our things to leave the country for good. By the next day, Sunday the 13th, we were on the road headed for ^{the} Canada boarder. These facts are provable by recordings and 100 other ways.

- Schaeffer Cox

PS: Please send me lots of letters and pictures while I'm in the Hole. It's hard, and hearing from people in the real world is like a gasp of fresh air.

my address for now is:

Francis Schaeffer Cox 16179-006-
US Penitentiary Marion
PO Box 1000
Marion, FL 62959

(~~Private from [unclear]~~) (13)

Oh, Rudy, could you get someone to send me "20,000 Leagues Under The Sea", the classic by Jules Verne?

- I love the T-shirts and stuff. 😊
- I got Erin's card, and all your printed emails, and the police reports. Thanks.
- Your answers to Amy Dehn were good. Olson first pitched "241" on Feb 6th at the Superbowl party, the same time he relayed Kultan's death-threat-ultimatum. I told him it was a bad idea and no one would go for it. He argued. So I agreed to pitch the idea the following Saturday, Feb 12th. I knew full well that Ken and Coleman would say it was a bad idea - which they did. So I then joined them and it was all 3 of us telling Olson "it won't work, so forget it." Of course I was also buying time and didn't want word to get back to Kultan through Olson that I was still against violence.

- When or if I get out of The Hole, I'll be excited to email Pastor Wiley.

- I wrote a long story about the viz.7 with my kids. I was in the middle of it when they scooped me up and threw me in solitary. I'll send it to you if it doesn't get lost when the cops pack up my cell. I asked my Mom to send you a thank you letter in the mean time.

(14)

- You REALLY need to get that evidence HD from Maria and listen to All of February 19th, 2011 audio. Then compare it to the FBI's "Affidavit in Support of a Search Warrant"

It went like this: on the ^{early} morning of 2-19 FBI Agent Southerland wires-up JR Olson and sends him off with instructions to get us to all agree to A, B and C. ~~Then he~~ Southerland sends JR Olson off to the meeting w/ me, Ken, Coleman, Lonnie, Karen. Then Southerland goes straight to the ~~court~~ State court house and files his affidavit in support of search and arrest ~~warrants~~ at around 9:15 AM. That affidavit swore under oath that we all agreed to A, B and C on Feb 19th, 2011. But the Affidavit was stamped into the record BEFORE the meeting it ~~described~~ claimed to be describing had even started!

Then Olson comes back that afternoon to tell Southerland the bad news that he tried his best but nobody would agree to A B and C.

But it was too late the affidavit was on the record, and stamped in before the events it "described" DIDN'T happen.